In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 19-0434V

(not to be published)

REBEKAH FISLER,

Petitioner,

٧.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: October 29, 2021

Special Processing Unit (SPU); Attorney's Fees and Costs

John Clarke Newton, Bluestein Thompson Sullivan, LLC, Columbia, SC, for Petitioner.

Laurie Wiesner, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On March 22, 2019, Rebekah Fisler filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleges that she suffered "persistent, and, sometimes severe, pain" in her left shoulder, "resulting from adverse effects of a [flu vaccination] received on December 1, 2017" and that her "injury claimed is contained within the Vaccine Injury Table." (Petition at 1, 3). On July 7, 2021, a decision was issued awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the parties' stipulation. (ECF No. 38).

¹ Because this unpublished Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, dated September 10, 2021 (ECF No. 42), requesting a total award of \$18,203.87 (representing \$17,069.80 in fees and \$1,134.07 in costs). In accordance with General Order No. 9, counsel for Petitioner represents that she incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. (ECF No. 42-2). Respondent reacted to the motion on September 24, 2021, indicating that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. (ECF No. 44). Petitioner did not file a response.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's requests and find a reduction in the amount of fees to be awarded appropriate, for the reasons listed below.

ANALYSIS

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. Section 15(e). Counsel must submit fee requests that include contemporaneous and specific billing records indicating the service performed, the number of hours expended on the service, and the name of the person performing the service. See Savin v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 85 Fed. Cl. 313, 316-18 (2008). Counsel should not include in their fee requests hours that are "excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary." Saxton v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 3 F.3d 1517, 1521 (Fed. Cir. 1993) (quoting Hensley v. Eckerhart, 461 U.S. 424, 434 (1983)). It is "well within the special master's discretion to reduce the hours to a number that, in [her] experience and judgment, [is] reasonable for the work done." Id. at 1522. Furthermore, the special master may reduce a fee request sua sponte, apart from objections raised by respondent and without providing a petitioner notice and opportunity to respond. See Sabella v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 209 (2009). A special master need not engage in a line-by-line analysis of petitioner's fee application when reducing fees. Broekelschen v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 102 Fed. Cl. 719, 729 (2011).

The petitioner "bears the burden of establishing the hours expended, the rates charged, and the expenses incurred." *Wasson v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.,* 24 Cl. Ct. 482, 484 (1991). The Petitioner "should present adequate proof [of the attorney's fees and costs sought] at the time of the submission." *Wasson,* 24 Cl. Ct. at 484 n.1. Petitioner's counsel "should make a good faith effort to exclude from a fee request hours that are excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary, just as a lawyer in private practice ethically is obligated to exclude such hours from his fee submission." *Hensley,* 461 U.S. at 434.

ATTORNEY FEES

Petitioner requests compensation for attorney John Clarke Newton at the rate of \$325 per hour for all time billed between 2018 - 2021. (ECF No. 42-1 at 1). This rate requires adjustment. Mr. Newton has previously been awarded the rates of \$300 per hour for time billed in 2018, and \$320 per hour for time billed in 2019. See Garone v Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., No. 18-0964V, 2019 WL 65983333 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Sept. 30, 2019). I agree with the reasoning of the previous special masters for adopting these rates, and therefore similarly reduce Mr. Newton's rates for all work performed in 2018 and 2019 (although I will allow the \$325 hourly rate for the two subsequent years in which work was performed). Application of the reduced rates results in a reduction of fees to be awarded by \$191.50.3

ATTORNEY COSTS

Petitioner requests \$1,134.07 in overall costs. (ECF No. 42-3 at 7). This amount is comprised of obtaining medical records and the Court's filing fee. I have reviewed the requested costs and find the majority of them to be reasonable. However, one cost was not properly substantiated: the cost dated February 11, 2019, "Cost advanced paid to (CHASE) Ciox – Blue Ridge Ortho" in the amount of \$31.20. (*Id*). Because this cost has not been substantiated, I am required to deny its award. Total requested costs to be awarded are thus reduced by **\$31.20**.

CONCLUSION

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. I award a total of \$17,981.17 (representing \$16,878.30 in fees and \$1,102.87 in costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel. In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk shall enter judgment in accordance with this decision.⁴

 $^{^{3}}$ This amount consists of (\$325 - \$300 = \$25 x 4.9 hrs = \$122.50) + (\$325 - \$320 = \$5 x 13.8 hrs = \$69) = \$191.50.

⁴ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran
Brian H. Corcoran Chief Special Master